Objective

The efficacy and safety profile of methysergide in migraine treatment is well described in the literature. However, differentiation between the contribution of the drug itself and that of its primary metabolite to the drug’s overall effects has never been reported. Xoc developed a detailed receptor activity profile for each compound to enable development of a mechanistic rationale for the efficacy and safety profile of methysergide.

Methysergide is a semi-synthetic ergot alkaloid first developed in the late 1950s. As a specific serotonin receptor antagonist, methysergide was the first and, until the recent introduction of monoclonal antibody calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) inhibitors, the only effective pharmaceutical specifically developed for migraine prevention.

Background

The original methysergide classification as a “5-HT1 receptor antagonist” is an oversimplification of the molecule’s interaction with the currently known 5-HT receptor families and subtypes and does not provide a basis for understanding the role of the 5-HT receptor families. Since about 75% of methysergide is metabolized to nor-methysergide (the oral route) in methysergide, its nitro-diamidopropylative, the receptor activity profiles of both the parent and the metabolite must be considered in assessing the clinical impact of the drug’s administration.

Methods

Methysergide and methylergometrine were tested on 13 human recombinant 5-HT1-G protein coupled receptors found in the central and peripheral nervous systems at EuropeanFAST laboratory, Belgium. Dose-response curves were generated over the range of 0.01 to 20,000 nM to determine effective concentration (EC50), inhibition concentration (IC50), and other agonist/antagonist response.

Results

Xoc has generated complete and accurate receptor activity profiles in terms of activity type, potency, and efficacy for methysergide and methylergometrine for the 5-HT1 receptor families. Xoc has also evaluated receptor activity profiles at the allergenic and lipopatic receptors, but neither compound showed significant activity that could contribute to efficacy or side effects.

Discussion

The receptor activity profiles for both the drug methysergide, and its major metabolite, methylergometrine, provide a clear mechanistic rationale consistent with the most significant clinical efficacy and side effects observations associated with methysergide therapy.

Both compounds are agonists at the 5-HT1A, 5-HT1B, and 5-HT1D receptors, profiles which are regarded in the literature to be linked to migraine prevention. In addition, as an antagonist at the 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors, methysergide provides additional mechanistic options that are presented in the literature as being beneficial for migraine prevention.

The receptor profile of methysergide is inconsistent with the mechanistic rationale for causing fibrotic effects and the data suggest that methysergide itself presents a low risk of causing fibrosis. In contrast, methysergide, as a highly potent 5-HT1B receptor agonist, has a much higher risk of fibrotic complications. This receptor profile, together with the high rate of methysergide metabolism via the renal route, provides the mechanistic rationale that implicates methysergide as the chief risk factor for fibrotic effects associated with this therapy.

Conclusions

1. The receptor activity profiles for both methysergide and methylergometrine are consistent with their roles as effective migraine prevention agents.
2. Methysergide does not have a receptor profile consistent with potential for causing fibrotic effects.
3. Methysergide, the primary metabolite of methysergide, has a receptor profile consistent with potential for causing fibrotic effects — and is among the most highly potent agents for that activity.
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